

The Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario 2023 (IPR FPS 2023)

What is IPR? October 2023

NEVITABLE POLICY RESPONSE

IPR was commissioned by the PRI¹ and is supported by world class research partners and leading philanthropies, financial institutions, & NGOs

1. Principles for Responsible Investment

2. The conclusions of the report are solely those of Energy Transition Advisers and Theia Finance Labs

Commissioned by PRI

In 2018, the Inevitable Policy Response was commissioned by PRI to advance the finance industry's knowledge of climate transition risk & support investor efforts to incorporate climate risk & opportunities in portfolio assessment

> Principles for Responsible Investment

Strategic Partners

In 2021, leading financial institutions joined the IPR as Strategic Partners to provide more indepth industry input, and to further strengthen its relevance to the financial industry

infrastructure TEMASEK

🗙 apg



A Climate Research Consortium

This report was produced by Energy Transition Advisers and Theia Finance Labs²

NGO partners include Carbon Tracker, Climate Bonds & Planet Tracker

Energy Transition Advisors



Core philanthropic support

The IPR is funded in part by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation through The Finance Hub, which was created to advance sustainable finance, and the ClimateWorks Foundation striving to innovate and accelerate climate solutions at scale



IPR has developed global, policy-based forecasts of forceful policy responses to climate change and implications for energy, agriculture and land use

Please see the IPR <u>Home Page</u> for further details

| | Scenario | Policy Forecast Details | Open Access Database | | | |
|-------|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | IPR 2023 Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) Models impact of forecasted policies on the real economy | IPR FPS 2023 Summary Report IPR 2023 Policy Forecast IPR FPS 2023 Detailed Energy Results IPR FPS 2023 Detailed Land Use and Nature Results IPR 2023 Bioenergy Report | IPR FPS 2023 Value Drivers IPR Scenario Explorer | | | |
| | IPR 1.5°C Required Policy Scenario (RPS) Required policies to align to a 1.5°C objective building on the IEA's Net Zero scenario and deepening analysis on policy, land use, emerging economies and value drivers | IPR 1.5°C RPS Energy and Land Use System Results including Policy Details | IPR RPS 2021 Value Drivers | | | |
| A TAN | IPR Forecast Policy Scenario + Nature (FPS + Nature) First integrated climate and nature scenario for use by investors | IPR 2022 FPS + Nature detailed results | IPR FPS + Nature Value Drivers | | | |

IPR has published a set of publicly available outputs from the FPS and 1.5°C RPS that offer significant granularity at the sector/country level, allowing investors to assess their own climate risk across 4,000+ variables

Disclaimer: This is not intended to constitute policy advice, financial advice or any specific advice.



Ratchet pressures increase the likelihood that governments will strengthen policy by 2025 & again to 2030 & beyond

Paris Ratchet cycle/process triggers a cumulating policy response into 2025, 2030 & beyond



Policy announcements are expected to continue 2023 - 2025 with ongoing acceleration in 2028 - 2030. Recognition of Overshoot grows from 2025.





IPR offers a range of applications to help financial institutions navigate the climate transition



 IPR also develops a '1.5°C Required Policy Scenario'(1.5°C RPS) building on the IEA NZE by deepening analysis on policy, land use, emerging economies, NETs and value drivers. The RPS scenario is also run through the model and can be used by those looking to align to 1.5°C.



The IPR 2023 forecast provides an update to IPR 2021, covering 21 major economies accounting for 74% of global CO₂ emissions





IPR policy forecasts are informed by a robust evidence base, including a survey of >100 climate policy experts

Market assessment through a survey of

>100 leading experts in national climate policy covering 12 countries





Over 50% of IPR 2023 forecasts have policy in place that is confirmatory or supportive of the forecast

Existing policy developments are assessed against the IPR's 2023 forecasts...

...With over 50% of forecasts having policy in place that is confirmatory or supportive of the forecast and ~40% of forecasts having a policy gap

| | Policy gap assessment categories | By number of policy forecasts, % | By CO ₂ emissions share of policy | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Accelerating beyond FPS towards IPR's 1.5°C RPS | Acceleration Policy announced or legislated/implemented that addresses the specific IPR forecast and sets a target date that is earlier than forecast in 2023 | 4% | forecasts ¹ , % 1% | | | |
| Consistent with IPR's 1.8C - | Confirmatory Policy announced or legislated/implemented that addresses the specific IPR forecast and is in line with what was forecast in IPR 2023 | 22% | 10% | | | |
| FPS | Supportive Policy announced or legislated/implemented that moves in the direction of forecast but does not definitively meet it | 37% | 43% | | | |
| Decelerating away from FPS towards a 2°C outcome | Deceleration Policy announced or legislated/implemented that addresses the specific IPR forecast but sets a target date that is later than forecast in 2023 | 1% | 2% | | | |
| | FPS policy gap No policy announced | 35% | 43% | | | |



The key policy gaps (no announcement as yet) in the FPS Forecasts

Breakdown of IPR policy forecasts with no existing policy announcements to meet them, weighted by CO₂ emissions¹



1. Weighted by CO₂ emissions covered by IPR's policy forecasts. IPR policy forecasts do not cover all CO₂ emissions and therefore the percentage breakdowns shown will likely be higher than if this analysis was done for all countries and sectors, covering all global emissions. For example, coal-fired power generation in China accounts for 11% of global CO₂ emissions but 20% of emissions covered by IPR policy forecasts.

Comprehensive Analysis : IPR 2023 policy forecasts across energy, land use and nature

| | ို့ဇာ _ိ Econo | my wide | Powe | r | | Build- | Trans | port | Indust | ry | Agri | igoplus Land us | e | 🖗 Nature | 5 |
|----------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Country ² | Net zero CO ₂ emissions | Carbon price (/tCO2) | New coal phase out | All coal phase out | Clean power | Zero-carbon heating | Light-duty vehicles | Heavy-duty vehicles | Fuel combustion | Industrial process | Low-carbon agriculture | Net deforestation | Deforest- ation free supply | Protection & restoration | Nature incentives |
| China | 2060 | \$50 | 2030 | 2045 | 2050 | 2045 | 2035 | 2040 | 2070 | >2070 | 2030 | 2025 | 2035 | 2035 | 2030 |
| US US | 2050 | \$30 | <2023 | 2035 | 2040 | 2040 | 2040 | 2045 | 2055 | 2065 | 2030 | 2025 | 2035 | 2035 | 2030 |
| 💽 India | 2065 | \$50 | 2025 | 2060 | 2060 | N/A | 2040 | 2045 | >2070 | >2070 | 2035 | 2025-35 | >2035 | 2040 | >2035 |
| Russia | >2065 | \$0 | 2030 | 2060 | 2060 | 2050 | 2050 | 2055 | >2070 | >2070 | 2035 | 2025-35 | >2035 | >2040 | >2035 |
| Japan | 2050 | \$70 | 2025 | 2045 | 2045 | 2040 | 2040 | 2040 | 2055 | 2065 | 2025 | 2025 | 2035 | 2030 | 2030 |
| Germany | 2045 | \$120 | <2023 | 2035 | 2040 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2050 | 2060 | 2025 | 2025-30 | 2030 | 2025 | 2030 |
| south Korea | 2050 | \$70 | 2025 | 2045 | 2045 | 2040 | 2035 | 2040 | 2055 | 2065 | 2030 | 2030 | >2035 | 2040 | 2030 |
| Indonesia | 2060 | \$50 | 2025 | 2050 | 2050 | N/A | 2045 | 2050 | 2070 | >2070 | 2035 | 2030 | >2035 | >2040 | 2035 |
| Saudi Arabia | 2060 | \$20 | N/A | N/A | 2060 | N/A | 2040 | 2045 | 2070 | >2070 | N/A | 2030 | >2035 | 2040 | >2035 |
| 💘 Canada | 2050 | \$100 | <2023 | 2030 | 2035 | 2035 | 2035 | 2040 | 2055 | 2065 | 2025 | 2025 | 2035 | 2035 | 2030 |
| 📀 Brazil | 2050 | \$50 | 2025 | 2045 | 2050 | N/A | 2045 | 2050 | 2060 | 2070 | 2030 | 2030 | 2035 | 2030 | 2030 |
| C* Turkey | 2060 | \$30 | 2030 | 2045 | 2050 | 2050 | 2040 | 2045 | 2070 | >2070 | 2035 | 2025 | >2035 | >2040 | >2035 |
| ≽ South Africa | >2065 | \$30 | 2025 | 2050 | 2050 | 2050 | 2040 | 2045 | >2070 | >2070 | 2035 | 2035 | >2035 | 2040 | 2035 |
| Mexico | >2065 | \$30 | <2023 | 2038-40 | 2050 | N/A | 2040 | 2045 | >2070 | >2070 | 2035 | 2030 | >2035 | 2040 | 2035 |
| Australia | 2050 | \$70 | 2023 | 2038-40 | 2045 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2055 | 2065 | 2030 | 2025-30 | 2030 | 2030 | 2025 |
| 💦 ик | 2050 | \$120 | <2023 | <2030 | 2035 | 2035 | 2030 | 2040 | 2055 | 2065 | 2025 | 2025 | 2030 | 2030 | 2025 |
| 📩 Vietnam | 2060 | \$50 | 2025 | 2050 | 2050 | N/A | 2040 | 2045 | 2070 | >2070 | 2030 | 2025 | >2035 | >2040 | 2030 |
| ltaly | 2050 | \$120 | <2023 | <2030 | 2045 | 2035 | 2035 | 2040 | 2060 | 2070 | 2025 | 2025 | 2030 | 2030 | 2030 |
| France | 2050 | \$120 | <2023 | <2030 | 2035 | 2035 | 2035 | 2040 | 2055 | 2065 | 2025 | 2025 | 2030 | 2030 | 2025 |
| Argentina | 2060 | \$30 | 2023 | 2045 | 2050 | 2045 | 2040 | 2045 | 2070 | >2070 | 2035 | 2030 | >2035 | 2040 | 2035 |
| Nigeria | >2065 | \$20 | 2030 | 2045 | 2050 | N/A | 2045 | 2050 | >2070 | >2070 | 2035 | 2035 | >2035 | >2040 | >2035 |

Tier 2 Tier 3

1. Tiers reflect different levels of climate ambition.

2. Ranked by CO₂ emissions, European Commissions Emissions Database

The Value Drivers Database Explained

The IPR Value Drivers database is the one of the largest and most comprehensive in the world enabling direct input into investor valuation models

- Driven by the Forecasts, FPS derives Data outputs :
 - All major jurisdictions covered
 - Annualised data
 - Emissions by GHG type
 - Investment by technology type by jurisdiction by sector
 - Power Demand by fuel type by jurisdiction
 - All major sectors covered
 - Huge Land Use component
 - Price data derived
- Unique data points
- Designed in collaboration with IPR Strategic Partners and research partners
- Will facilitate opportunity to build new wave of product
- Hundreds of thousands of data points



Jurisdiction: 21 world regions including 12 G20 countries^{*}

- Countries: Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, India, Indonesia, Japan, Russia, South Africa, South Korea, United Kingdom, United States
- Composite regions: Central and South America, Eastern Europe, Eurasia, Gulf
 Cooperation Council, Middle East and North Africa, South Asia, South East Asia and
 Oceania, Sub-Saharan Africa, Western Europe

IPR value drivers provide key insights

Energy and Land GHG emissions¹ by region, GtCO₂e/year

📕 USA 📃 EUR 📃 Other AE

Advanced Economies (AEs)

📕 CHN 📕 IND 📕 RUS 📃 Other EMDE

Emerging markets & developing economies (EMDEs)



Except for the uptick in emissions following the recovery in activity post-COVID, **AEs see GHG emissions fall** rapidly to near-zero by 2050. **AEs could reach net-zero energy** emissions with CO₂ removals from DACCS (not shown)

- In EMDEs, emissions continue to grow throughout the 2020s due to growing population and incomes. They still emit 12 GtCO₂e in 2050 mainly from industry. Even easier-todecarbonize sectors like power and transport do not do so fully
- Emissions reductions in both AE and EDME land systems are driven by Nature-Based Solutions



Land is key feature of IPR

Climate, **nature**, and **affordability** outcomes represent **constraints on the outputs** we consume from the land system. Maintaining and restoring forested area, for example, is necessary for emissions and biodiversity targets to be realized.



Improving yields, changing consumption habits, and reducing waste can all ease competition and improve tradeoffs



Cattle and sheep represents a small percentage of global average per capita caloric intake, but they could be responsible for ~20% of global emissions by 2050



- 1. Using GWP 100 emissions values
- 2. We use enteric fermentation as a proxy for methane emissions form ruminants, which account for 70%-80% of total methane emissions from agriculture. This excludes a portion of emissions from animal waste management. Total emissions from animal waste management (covering all livestock products, not just ruminants) account for only 5-15% of overall methane emissions from land.
- 3. Including sugars, alcohol, brans and other secondary products

4. Caloric intake is caloric demand net of food waste

Source: Springmann M, Wiebe K, Mason-D'Croz D, Sulser T, Rayner M, Scarborough P. Health and nutritional aspects of sustainable diet strategies and their association with environmental impacts: a global modelling analysis with country-level detail

Ultimately, our central forecast implies temperatures will peak at 1.7°C-1.8°C suggesting the Paris Agreement will be achieved...recognizing that uncertainties around temperature sensitivities remain and the battle is not won.

FPS 2023 forecasts peak temperatures of 1.7-1.8C around 2045, dropping to 1.6-1.7 C by 2100 if DACCS continues

Surface temperature anomaly, degrees C above pre-industrial reference period¹



1. The pre-industrial reference period is 1850 to 1900, defined in Kelvin. Temperature anomalies in Kelvin and Celsius are equivalent.

2. Based on MAGICC 7

Assuming only impact of continuation of DACCS levels



IPR FPS 2023 forecasts²

- An exceedance of 1.5C in the early 2030s
- Peak temperatures of 1.7 1.8C around
 2045 2065
- A decline to 1.6 1.7C by 2100 and 1.5C by 2130³, based on direct air carbon capture and storage (DACCS) deployment estimates
- Net-zero CO₂ emissions around 2060 and net-zero GHG emissions around 2080
- Overall likelihood of staying below 2°C warming is at >90%

Disclaimer



The information contained in this report is meant for the purposes of information only and is not intended to be investment, legal, tax or other advice, nor is it intended to be relied upon in making an investment or other decision. This report is provided with the understanding that the authors and publishers are not providing advice on legal, economic, investment or other professional issues and services. Unless expressly stated otherwise, the opinions, recommendations, findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed in this report are those of the various contributors to the report and do not necessarily represent the views of PRI Association or the signatories to the Principles for Responsible Investment. The inclusion of company examples does not in any way constitute an endorsement of these organisations by PRI Association or the signatories to the Principles for Responsible Investment. While we have endeavoured to ensure that the information contained in this report has been obtained from reliable and up-to-date sources, the changing nature of statistics, laws, rules and regulations may result in delays, omissions or inaccuracies in information contained in this report. PRI Association is not responsible for any errors or omissions, or for any decision made or action taken based on information contained in this report or for any loss or damage arising from or caused by such decision or action. All information in this report is provided "as-is", with no guarantee of completeness, accuracy, timeliness or of the results obtained from the use of this information, and without warranty of any kind, expressed or implied. The IPR consortium are not investment advisers and makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any particular company, investment fund or other vehicle.

The information contained in this research report does not constitute an offer to sell securities or the solicitation of an offer to buy, or recommendation for investment in, any securities within the United States or any other jurisdiction. This research report provides general information only. The information is not intended as financial advice, and decisions to invest should not be made in reliance on any of the statements set forth in this document. The IPR consortium shall not be liable for any claims or losses of any nature in connection with information contained in this document, including but not limited to, lost profits or punitive or consequential damages. The information and opinions in this report constitute a judgement as at the date indicated and are subject to change without notice. The information may therefore not be accurate or current. The information and opinions contained in this report have been compiled or arrived at from sources believed to be reliable in good faith, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made by the IPR consortium as to their accuracy, completeness or correctness and the IPR consortium do also not warrant that the information is up to date.





IPR Contacts:

Investor Enquiries: Julian Poulter, Head of Investor Relations julian.poulter@et-advisers.com

Media Enquiries: Andrew Whiley, Communications Manager Andrew.Whiley@inevitablepolicyresponse.org

Social Media: Follow us at:

IPR X (Twitter) <u>@InevitablePol R</u> search #iprforecasts

IPR LinkedIn Inevitable Policy Response search #iprforecasts

